

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,298	06/02/2005	Tomohisa Tenra	043890-0746	8367
	7590 08/16/2007 Γ WILL & EMERY LLP		EXAMINER	
600 13TH STR	LEET, N.W.	•	THOMAS, ALEXANDER S	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	,
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/537,298	TENRA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Thomas	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Au</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the practice of the pr	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 2-26 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-7,15-17 and 20-26 is/are allowed.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 2,8-14,18 and 19 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	is/are withdrawn from considerati	on.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	

Art Unit: 1772

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The previous rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, has been overcome in view of applicants' arguments in paragraph III of the response filed August 6, 2007.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 2, 11, 13, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Japanese patent document 10-110,887. The reference discloses a vacuum heat insulator comprising a gas barrier envelope with a heat sealable layer 3 wherein the envelope covers a flat core member and is heat-sealed around the core member; see Figure 1 and the Abstract. The terms "heated", "pressed" "cut off" and "cut off by melting down" used in the instant claims are process limitations that do not add any structurally distinguishing features to the final product that would distinguish it over the prior art product. Concerning claim 13, there are widths of heat sealed portions between the core materials in the product of the reference.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,298

Art Unit: 1772

Page 3

4. Claims 2, 11-14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stroobants 6,322,743. The reference discloses a vacuum heat insulator comprising a gas barrier envelope with a heat sealable layer wherein the envelope covers a flat core member and the entire laminate is heated and pressed during heat-sealing of the edges of the envelope, which would result in the envelope being fused to the core member. The terms "cut off" and "cut off by melting down" used in the instant claims are process limitations that do not add any structurally distinguishing features to the final product that would distinguish it over the prior art product.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either the Japanese patent document 10-110,887 or Stroobants. The references disclose a vacuum heat insulator comprising a gas barrier envelope with a heat sealable layer wherein the envelope covers a flat core member and is heat-sealed around the member. The terms "heated", "pressed", "cut off" and "cut off by melting down" used in the instant claims are process limitations that do not add any structurally distinguishing features to the final product that would distinguish it over the prior art products. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the insulation

Application/Control Number: 10/537,298

Art Unit: 1772

products of the references any particular size depending on the particular end use since

Page 4

such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component and

a change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the

art.

7. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

either Stroobants or the Japanese patent document 10-110887 in view of the Japanese

patent document 08-303686. The primary references disclose a vacuum heat insulator

comprising a gas barrier envelope with a heat sealable layer wherein the envelope

covers a flat core member and is heat-sealed around the member. The terms "heated",

"pressed", "cut off" and "cut off by melting down" used in the instant claims are process

limitations that do not add any structurally distinguishing features to the final product

that would distinguish it over the prior art products. The secondary reference discloses

providing a hole through a vacuum insulation product wherein the enveloping material

can be cut through after forming the product. It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art to provide a hole in the products of the primary references in view

of the teachings in the secondary reference in order to allow a tube, etc. to run through

the insulation after installation.

8. Claim 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the

Japanese patent document 10-110887 in view of Stoobants. The primary reference

Application/Control Number: 10/537,298

**Art Unit: 1772** 

discloses a vacuum heat insulator comprising a gas barrier envelope with a heat sealable layer 3 wherein the envelope covers a flat core member and is heat-

9. sealed around the member; see Figure 1 and the Abstract. The terms "heated", "pressed", "cut off" and "cut off by melting down" used in the instant claims are process limitations that do not add any structurally distinguishing features to the final product that would distinguish it over the prior art product. The secondary reference discloses the desirability of applying heat and pressure to a vacuum insulation panel during evacuation and sealing to improve flatness; see column 2, lines 6-37. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply pressure and heat to the envelope and core of the primary reference's product in view of the teachings in the secondary reference in order to prevent wrinkles in the final product. If heat/pressure is applied to the entire laminate of the primary reference during heat sealing as suggested in the secondary reference than the envelope will become bonded to the core material as a result of its softening during heat sealing.

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP \$ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alexander Thomas/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772